

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/971,114	10/05/2001	Sean P. Willems	P 274056	1004
909	7590 03/16/2006		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500			TARAE, CATHER	LINE MICHELLE
MCLEAN,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3623	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/971,114	WILLEMS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		C. Michelle Tarae	3623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely preceived by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. The mely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	October 2001.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-330</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-330 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
-/(	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	• •					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/971,114 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is an Election/Restriction Requirement in response to the communication filed October 5, 2001. Claims 1-330 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-117, drawn to optimizing a series of options over a series of stages by minimizing costs using subgraphs of nodes where each node corresponds to a stage, classified in class 705, subclass 7.
  - II. Claims 118-258, drawn to an interface that uses XML connected to a database to display stage symbols and links to form representation of interconnected stages, classified in class 705, subclass 7.
  - III. Claims 259-330, drawn to using attribute and availability data to determine functionality requirements of components of a product and determining the optimal set of components based on cost, classified in class 705, subclass 7.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together
  in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in
  scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination

مدن

is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as for visually maintaining the relationships between stages. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as selecting components for the manufacture of a product. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as selecting components for the manufacture of a product. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Michelle Tarae whose telephone number is 571-272-6727. The examiner can normally be reached Monday – Friday from 8:30am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz, can be reached at 571-272-6729.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. Michelle Tarae Patent Examiner Art Unit 3623

Michelle Marae

March 13, 2006